

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JAN 2 7 2020

		for the	he	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
		Eastern District	of California	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY
				DEPUTY CLERK
•	United States of America	)		
	V.	)	C N 2:20	
	DENZZEL KIZER	)	Case No. 2:20	-mj-00014-KJN
	Defendant	)		: :
	ORDER	OF DETENTION	ON PENDING	ΓRIAL
		Part I - Eligibility	y for Detention	CDAIDI
Upon the		•		SEALEI
X	Motion of the Government	attorney nursuant to	5 18 II S.C. 8 3 1 4 2 6	(f)(1), or
	Motion of the Government			
			•	ler sets forth the Court's findings of fact
				er findings made at the hearing.
	Part II - Findings	of Fact and Law as	s to Presumptions	under § 3142(e)
A. Rebut	table Presumption Arises	Under 18 U.S.C. §	3142(e)(2) (previou	s violator): There is a rebuttable
		-		assure the safety of any other person
	mmunity because the follow	-		
(1)_	<del></del>		-	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
L	(a) a crime of violence, a			i i
[	<del></del>		-	of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
-	(b) an offense for which			
. L	· · ·		•	0 years or more is prescribed in the led Substances Import and Export Act
				U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
	(d) any felony if such per	son has been convi	cted of two or more	e offenses described in subparagraphs
				offenses that would have been offenses
	jurisdiction had existed, of			circumstance giving rise to Federal
	(e) any felony that is not			lves
				device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
		-		der 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
<b>(2)</b>	the defendant has previous	ly been convicted o	f a Federal offense	that is described in 18 U.S.C.
	142(f)(1), or of a State or lo Federal jurisdiction had exist		uld have been such	an offense if a circumstance giving rise
<b>(3)</b>	the offense described in par	ragraph (2) above fo	or which the defend	lant has been convicted was
con	nmitted while the defendant	t was on release per	nding trial for a Fed	eral, State, or local offense; and
	•	•		conviction, or the release of the
def	endant from imprisonment	for the offense des	cribed in nargaranh	(2) above which ever is later

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses):	There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the a	
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe t	hat the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribe	ļ.
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	Export Act (21
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisor or more is prescribed;	ment of 10 years
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a	maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(	a)(1), 2245
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and	detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	etention is
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after consider	ering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has prov	
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will re the safety of any other person and the community.	asonably assure
No a proposition of avidance that we condition an combination of any liting of the last will be	.i. 1.1
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reast the defendant's appearance as required.	sonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the follow	wing:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	
Prior criminal history	
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
History of violence or use of weapons	
History of alcohol or substance abuse	
X Lack of stable employment	
<u> </u>	
I lack of stable residence	
Lack of stable residence	
Lack of stable residence  Lack of financially responsible sureties  Lack of significant community or family ties to this district	

	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
X	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
,	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
X	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

1/27/2020

KENDAL J. NEWMAN, United States Magistrate Judge